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Introduction

General information

The production of co-ordinated design and construction information is a task- and time-based process, independent of which procurement route or form of contract is used. Each task needs to be carried out in a particular order for the mutual benefit of all those involved, otherwise known as “collaborative working”. In a collaborative working environment, teams are asked to produce information using standardized processes and agreed standards and methods, to ensure the same form and quality, enabling information to be used and reused without change or interpretation. If an individual, office or team changes the process without agreement, it will hinder collaboration – a participant insisting on “my standard” is not acceptable in a collaborative working environment.

This approach does not require more work, as this information has always been required to be produced. However, true collaborative working requires mutual understanding and trust within the team and a deeper level of standardized process than has previously been experienced, if the information is to be produced and delivered in a consistent timely manner. The benefits of working in this way can include fewer delays and disputes within the team, better management of project risk and better understanding of where costs are being incurred.

Wherever possible, the principles of lean should also be applied to reduce the expenditure of resources for any goal other than the creation of value for the employer. For example, BS 1192:2007 promotes the avoidance of wasteful activities such as:

• waiting and searching for information;
• over-production of information with no defined use;
• over-processing information, simply because the technology can; and
• defects, caused by poor co-ordination across the graphical and non-graphical data set which require rework.

However, for the production of information to be truly lean, it is critical to understand its future use. This is achieved by “beginning with the end in mind” and identifying the downstream uses of information, to ensure information can be used and re-used throughout the project and life of the asset. It is to this end that PAS 1192-2 has been produced.

It is anticipated that this document is of equal value to small practices as well as large multi-nationals. The impact of poor information management and waste is potentially equal on all projects. Where appropriate we have offered some advice as to how the process and methods described here can be implemented in a scalable fashion to suit all organizations.

Background and context of PAS 1192-2

In May 2011, the UK Government published the Construction Strategy aimed at reducing the cost of public sector assets by up to 20% by 2016. The strategy calls “for a profound change in the relationship between public authorities and the construction industry to ensure the Government consistently gets a good deal and the country gets the social and economic infrastructure it needs for the long-term”.

Basic problems exist with procuring public assets, which have been known for over 100 years, but little as yet has been achieved in resolving them. The Construction Strategy defines a number of strategic objectives, which collectively will overcome these problems. In particular, a strategic objective has been set to achieve maturity Level 2 building information modelling (BIM) on all public sector asset procurement, with equal applicability to private sector building, infrastructure, refurbishment and new-build projects. This will address the problem of information that is inaccurate, incomplete and ambiguous and results in unnecessary additional capital delivery costs amounting to 20-25% – see Avanti case studies at http://www.cpic.org.uk/en/publications/avanti.

It was envisaged that the advent of Computer Aided Design solutions had the potential to improve the consistency of information, but at best it has only served to perpetuate the problem.
This additional 20-25% is considered waste and can be reduced if the standards, processes and procedures outlined in BS 1192:2007 and this document are implemented.

This PAS is one of a number of documents published on the BIM Task Group website (http://www.bimtaskgroup.org) in support of these strategic objectives. These are as follows:

- CIC Scope of Services, First Edition, 2007;
- COBie-UK-2012, the first edition of the UK edition of the schema for Construction Operations Building Information Exchange;
- Employer's Information Requirements.

Additional information can also be found on the BIM Task Group website http://www.bimtaskgroup.org.

The BIM maturity model setting out the progression from CAD ultimately to Level 3 BIM is shown in Figure 1. More detail regarding Level 2 is given under the heading “Fundamental principles for Level 2 information modelling”, below.

The process of BIM generates information models and their associated information that are used throughout the lifecycle of building/infrastructure facilities or assets. The information delivery and project management cycle in Figure 2 shows in BLUE the generic process of identifying a project need (which may be for design services, for construction or for supply of goods), procuring and awarding a contract, mobilizing a supplier and generating production information and asset information relevant to the need. This cycle is followed for every aspect of a project, including the refinement of design information through the seven project stages shown in GREEN.

The GREEN elements of the diagrams represent the information delivery process known as the common data environment (CDE).

A forthcoming document, PAS 1192-3, to be developed, will offer guidance on the use and maintenance of the asset information model (AIM) to support the planned preventative maintenance programme and the portfolio management activity for the life of the asset.

This document provides a framework, from which a number of supplementary documents will provide detailed guidance. Collectively, these documents will be developed further, from the learning taken from the Government’s “early adopter” projects, and may be considered for further development as a British Standard.

PAS 1192-2 provides specific guidance for the information management requirements associated with projects delivered using BIM. Not all information on a project will be originated, exchanged or managed in a BIM format. This information will also need to be managed in a consistent and structured way to enable efficient and accurate information exchange. BS 1192:2007 provides details of the standards and processes that should be adopted to deliver these outcomes. Only information exchanges specific to BIM are described in this PAS. It is assumed for the purposes of this standard that non-BIM information exchanges between a principal supplier and employer and within the supply chain will be managed using equivalent information management standards. Furthermore, and for the avoidance of doubt, all project information, whether in BIM environments or in conventional data formats should be shared using a single collaborative data environment (CDE).
Figure 1 – BIM maturity levels

NOTE Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.

A) In preparation.
NOTE 1 The information delivery cycle as shown in Figure 2 has two distinct points of entry. For stand-alone new-build projects, start at the top right box “Need”, but for projects that are part of a larger portfolio or estate, or for projects working on existing buildings and structures, then start at the right-hand arrow “Assessment” which draws on the information in the existing AIM. These points of entry are also referenced in the CDE – see 8.2. It is assumed that use will be made at both start points of portfolio information to inform decisions.

NOTE 2 The information delivery cycle shows in BLUE the generic process of identifying a project need (which may be for design services, for construction or for supply of goods), procuring and awarding a contract, mobilizing a supplier and generating production information and asset information relevant to the need. This cycle is followed for every aspect of a project, including the refinement of design information through the seven project stages shown in GREEN.

NOTE 3 The GREEN numbered ovals and annotated lozenges refer to the CIC Scope of Services stages. The GREEN image represents the CDE that will collect, manage, disseminate, exchange and retrieve information through the lifecycle.

NOTE 4 Information exchanges between project team members are indicated by small GREEN balloons.

NOTE 5 Information exchanges between the project team and the employer are indicated by larger red balloons to answer the Plain Language questions posed by the employer defined in the employer’s information requirements (EIR) and referred to in Figure 7 (see 4.1.5).

NOTE 6 Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.
Fundamental principles for Level 2 information modelling

The fundamental principles of Level 2 information modelling are:

a) originators produce definition information in models which they control, sourcing information from other models where required by way of reference, federation or direct information exchange;

b) provision of a clear definition of the employer’s information requirements (EIR) and key decision points (to form part of the contract possibly through adoption of the CIC BIM Protocol) – see Clause 5;

c) evaluation of the proposed approach, capability and capacity of each supplier, and their supply chain, to deliver the required information, prior to contract award – see Clause 6;

d) a BIM execution plan (BEP) shall be developed by the supplier containing:
   1) assigned roles, responsibilities and authorities;
   2) standards, methods and procedures; and
   3) a resourced master information delivery index, aligned with the project programme;
   – see Clauses 6, 7 and 8;

e) provision of a single environment to store shared asset data and information, accessible to all individuals who are required to produce, use and maintain it – see Clause 9;

   NOTE The single environment can look very different on small and large projects, which can use free web-based file sharing applications or sophisticated enterprise bridge software.

f) application of the processes and procedures outlined in the documents and standards indicated in Table 1; and

g) information models to be developed using one of the following combinations of enabling tools:
   1) discipline-based software, with individual proprietary databases, that have limited interoperability between them or with associated design analysis software;
   2) discipline-based software, with individual proprietary databases, that are fully interoperable, but with limited interoperability with associated design analysis software;
   3) discipline-based software, with individual proprietary databases, and associated design analysis software that are fully interoperable; or

4) single source platform software, with a single external relational database, and associated design analysis software that are fully interoperable.

This list of combinations of enabling tools is not exhaustive.

NOTE 1 The above principles involve the delivery of a co-ordinated project information model to the employer containing graphical and non-graphical information through a single point of responsibility, likely to be the lead designer or the contractor.

NOTE 2 One of the key Level 2 requirements is the exchange standard of COBie and PDF, as well as copies of the native files.

NOTE 3 The definition of BIM maturity Level 2 was originally developed as part of the UK Government BIM strategy in 2011. The terminology has been adopted widely. Level 2 is defined in this PAS with reference to best practice and the adoption of tools and standards. Given the early stage of adoption of managed methods of working in BIM at the time when this PAS was drafted, it can be expected that Level 2 practice will continue to evolve and that the scope of information sharing and exchange will vary from project to project. For this reason, it can be anticipated that the definition of Level 2 BIM will continue to evolve around the core principle of the shared use of individually authored models in a common data environment.
Table 1 – Information modelling maturity Level 2

| BS I Standards | Available: |
| | • BS 1192:2007 |
| | • BS 7000-4:1996<sup>A1</sup> |
| | • BS 8541-1:2012 |
| | • BS 8541-2:2011 |
| | • BS 8541-3:2012 |
| | • BS 8541-4:2012 |
| | • PAS 1192-2:2013 |
| | • PAS 91:2012 |
| | To be developed: |
| | • PAS 1192-3 |
| | • BS 1192-4 |
| CPI/BSI documents | Available: |
| | • A standard framework and guide to BS 1192:2007 |
| | Under development: |
| | • CPIx Protocol |
| | • CPI Uniclass (unified) |
| | To be developed: |
| | • CPI Uniclass supporting guidance |
| Other documents | Under development |
| | To be developed: |
| | • Early adopters learning report |
| | • Institutional plans of work |
| | • Employers Information Requirements |
| | • Government Soft Landings (policy title to be confirmed) |

<sup>Note 1</sup> This table has been developed from the diagram shown in the Building Information Modelling (BIM) Working Group Strategy Paper, published in March 2011.

<sup>Note 2</sup> All the above documents will be available from BIM Task Force website at http://www.bimtaskgroup.org.

<sup>A1</sup> Revision in preparation.
1 Scope

This Publically Available Specification (PAS) specifies requirements for achieving building information modelling (BIM) Level 2 – see Figure 1 and Table 1. The requirements within this PAS build on the existing code of practice for the collaborative production of architectural, engineering and construction information, defined within BS 1192:2007. PAS 1192-2 focuses specifically on project delivery, where the majority of graphical data, non-graphical data and documents, known collectively as the project information model (PIM), are accumulated from design and construction activities.

The intended audience for this PAS includes organizations and individuals responsible for the procurement, design, construction, delivery, operation and maintenance of buildings and infrastructure assets. Where possible, generic language has been used, but where necessary, specific definitions are in Clause 3.

Commencing at the point of assessment (for existing assets) or statement of need (for new assets) and progressively working through the various stages of the information delivery cycle, the requirements within this PAS culminate with the delivery of the as-constructed asset information model (AIM). This is handed over to the employer by the supplier once the PIM has been verified against what has been constructed.
2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

BS 1192:2007, Collaborative production of architectural, engineering and construction information – Code of practice

http://www.bimtaskgroup.org


Uniclass documents, http://www.bimtaskgroup.org
3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this PAS the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1 archive
component of the common data environment (CDE)
NOTE The archive section of the CDE is for inactive or superseded information. Such information will provide a history of the project information transfers, sharing, change orders and knowledge retention, and can be used for other contractual purposes or “discovery”.

3.2 as-built
as-constructed
component of the common data environment (CDE)

3.3 asset information model (AIM)
maintained information model used to manage, maintain and operate the asset

3.4 attribute
piece of data forming a partial description of an object or entity

3.5 author
originator of model files, drawings or documents

3.6 building information modelling execution plan (BEP)
plan prepared by the suppliers to explain how the information modelling aspects of a project will be carried out

3.7 building information modelling (BIM)
process of designing, constructing or operating a building or infrastructure asset using electronic object-oriented information

3.8 CIC Scope of Services
multi-disciplinary scope of services published by the Construction Industry Council (CIC) for use by members of the project team on major projects

3.9 clash rendition
rendition of the native format model file to be used specifically for spatial coordination processes
NOTE Used to achieve clash avoidance or to be used for clash detection.

3.10 classification
systematic arrangement of headings and sub-headings for aspects of construction work including the nature of assets, construction elements, systems and products

3.11 client
individual or organization commissioning a built asset
NOTE The client may be different from the employer.

3.12 COBie (Construction Operation Building information exchange)
structured facility information for the commissioning, operation and maintenance of a project often in a neutral spreadsheet format that will be used to supply data to the employer or operator to populate decision-making tools, facilities management and asset management systems
NOTE Templates for the preparation of COBie-UK-2012 information exchange files (the schema developed for UK projects) can be downloaded from the website: http://www.bimtaskgroup.org/cobie-uk-2012.

3.13 common data environment (CDE)
single source of information for any given project, used to collect, manage and disseminate all relevant approved project documents for multi-disciplinary teams in a managed process
NOTE A CDE may use a project server, an extranet, a file-based retrieval system or other suitable toolset.
3.14 configuration management
coordination activities to direct and control configuration

[BS ISO 10007:2003]

3.15 data
information stored but not yet interpreted or analyzed

3.16 design intent model
initial version of the project information model (PIM) developed by the design suppliers

3.17 document
information for use in the briefing, design, construction, operation, maintenance or decommissioning of a construction project, including but not limited to correspondence, drawings, schedules, specifications, calculations, spreadsheets

NOTE Documents must either be immutable or incorporate a means of controlling changes.

3.18 drawing
static, printed, graphical representation of part or all of a project or asset

3.19 electronic document management system (EDMS)
system for storing, retrieving, sharing and otherwise managing electronic documents

3.20 employer
individual or organization named in an appointment or building contract as the employer

3.21 employer’s information requirements (EIR)
pre-tender document setting out the information to be delivered, and the standards and processes to be adopted by the supplier as part of the project delivery process

3.22 gate
stage
division of a standardised process map for the acquisition of a facility, at some of which the requirements can be delivered

NOTE The stages at which information exchanges are required should be specified in the EIR by reference to the agreed stage and gate names. See the CIC Scope of Services.

3.23 graphical data
data conveyed using shape and arrangement in space

3.24 information
representation of data in a formal manner suitable for communication, interpretation or processing by human beings or computer applications

3.25 information exchange
structured collection of information at one of a number of pre-defined stages of a project with defined format and fidelity

3.26 information management
tasks and procedures applied to inputting, processing and generation activities to ensure accuracy and integrity of information

3.27 information model
model comprising: documentation, non-graphical information and graphical information

NOTE The model is conveyed using PDF, COBie and native model files.

3.28 information modelling
use of data to provide information through better understanding, by applying logic or mathematical functions to derive new data

3.29 lean
production focused on delivering value for the employer or client and eliminating all non-value-adding activities using an efficient workflow
3.30 level of definition
collective term used for and including “level of model detail” and the “level of information detail”
 NOTE The “level of model detail” is the description of graphical content of models at each of the stages defined for example in the CIC Scope of Services. The “level of model information” is the description of non-graphical content of models at each of the stages defined, for example, in the CIC Scope of Services

3.31 master information delivery plan (MIDP)
primary plan for when project information is to be prepared, by whom and using what protocols and procedures, incorporating all relevant task information delivery plans

3.32 non-graphical data
data conveyed using alphanumeric characters

3.33 project delivery team
group of organizations or individuals contracted either directly or indirectly to deliver services or products to the project

3.34 project implementation plan (PIP)
statement relating to the suppliers’ IT and human resources capability to deliver the EIR
 NOTE In this PAS a PIP relates solely to information capabilities and should not be confused with any more generic project management plan.

3.35 project information model (PIM)
information model developed during the design and construction phase of a project
 NOTE The PIM is developed firstly as a design intent model, showing the architectural and engineering intentions of the design suppliers. Then, when ownership has been transferred to the construction suppliers, the PIM is developed into a virtual construction model containing all the objects to be manufactured, installed or constructed.

3.36 RACI indicator
abbreviation used to identify which of a group of participants or stakeholders are responsible for (“R”), authorize (“A”), contribute to (“C”) or are to be kept informed about (“I”) a project activity

3.37 soft landings
graduated handover of a built asset from the design and construction team to the operation and maintenance team to allow structured familiarization of systems and components and fine tuning of controls and other building management systems

3.38 standard method and procedure (SMP)
set of standard methods and procedures covering the way information is named, expressed and referenced

3.39 supplier
provider of services or goods either directly to the employer or to another supplier in a supply chain

3.40 supplier information modelling assessment form
form conveying the capability and experience of a supplier to carry out information modelling in a collaborative environment

3.41 supplier information technology assessment form
form conveying the capability and IT resources of a supplier for exchanging information in a collaborative environment

3.42 supply chain capability assessment form
form summarizing the human resource and IT capability of each organization in a supply chain
3.43 task information delivery plan (TIDP)
federated lists of information deliverables by each task, including format, date and responsibilities

3.44 third party capability assessment form
form conveying the information management and IT capabilities of non-design, non-construction organizations in a supply chain

3.45 user
individual using a built asset for its designed purpose

3.46 virtual construction model
subsequent version of the project information model developed from the design intent model by the construction supplier and their supply chain

3.47 volume
manageable spatial subdivision of a project, defined by the project team as a subdivision of the overall project that allows more than one person to work on the project models simultaneously and consistent with the analysis and design process

*NOTE 1* Analogous to the volume strategy defined by the lead designer to allocate volumes within the project to different disciplines into which they carry out their system models (walls, structure, pipework, ductwork, electrical, etc). Also achieves spatial co-ordination prior to detail design.

Each volume or subdivision is a reference file. When one or more referenced files is viewed, the full floor plan or site plan can be represented. This subdivision also becomes important when using extranets, as it allows the files to be kept to a manageable file size.

*NOTE 2* This term is defined as “zone” in BS 1192:2007.
4 Overview of documents referenced from this specification

NOTE 1 This PAS formalizes and makes explicit many of the existing information management practices seen in UK construction projects. It is the UK government’s express objective that BIM Level 2 has minimal impact on existing contracting methods. This clause describes the documents used and their relationships as shown in Figures 3 and 4.

NOTE 2 This PAS is designed to be used with all contract forms. Specific project or contract requirements are achieved by the use of a “Protocol” document which lays out the specific precedence of all documents.

NOTE 3 Various documents are used between the employer and the supplier (contractor) and between the supplier (contractor) and their supply chain. Management of the various supply chain tiers are described in Clauses 5, 6 and 7.

NOTE 4 To manage capability, selection and delivery, various documents are used by suppliers in each tier. These documents are indicated towards the lower section of Figure 4 and are described from an information management point of view in 6.3. For a more detailed “design management point of view” see guidance at BS 7000.

Figure 3 – The relationships between the contract and the associated documents

NOTE Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.
### Figure 4 – Relationship between documents used for information management

**Construction Programme**
- Project Plan

**Master Information Delivery Plan (MIDP)**
- Task Team Information Delivery Plan (TIDP)
- Responsibility Matrix (RM)

**BIM Execution Plan (BEP)**
- Project Implementation Plan (PIP)
- Standards Method and Protocol (SMP)
- Agreed Project IT Solutions and tools and resource
- Supply Chain Capability Summary (SCCS)
- Supplier IT Assessment Form
- Supplier BIM Resource Assessments Form

**NOTE** Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.

Documents for information management shall be prepared by CPI and referred to as the Construction Project Information Xchange (CPIx):

a) the Project Implementation Plan (PIP) which is submitted pre-contract-award to convey each potential supplier’s capability related to information management;

b) the Task Information Delivery Plan (TIDP) which is submitted by each task team working on the project to set out each team’s responsibility for delivering information;

c) the Responsibility Matrix which sets out the relationship between disciplines and production of information or models;

d) the Master Information Delivery Plan (MIDP) which collates all the TIDPs against the construction programme; and

e) the BIM Execution Plan (BEP) which is submitted firstly pre-contract to address the issues raised in the EIR and then with more detail post-contract-award to explain the supplier’s methodology for delivering the project using BIM.
5 Information delivery – Assessment and need

**Figure 5** – The information delivery – Assessment and need

NOTE 1 The headings of Clauses 5 to 10 follow the stages of the lifecycle as noted in the blue arrows from the information delivery cycle (Assessment, Procurement, etc.).

NOTE 2 Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.

### 5.1 General

**NOTE** Figure 5 shows how this part of the information delivery cycle fits into the overall process.

5.1.1 The information delivery cycle and the project stages described in this PAS shall begin at “CAPEX start” (see Figure 5) and end at Handover.

**NOTE** “CAPEX start” represents one of two states, either where a project begins with no pre-existing information or where a project begins based on the assessment of pre-existing information from an asset portfolio.

5.1.2 Definition of the information exchange and collaborative working requirements shall be undertaken in parallel with other procurement and project definition activities. Information exchange and collaborative working requirements are described in the EIRs, which form part of the employer’s requirements and will in turn be incorporated by a supplier into their Project Execution Plan. The contents of the EIRs are aligned to employer decision points which in turn will coincide with project stages. The EIRs shall be consistent with other appointment and contract documents in use on the project, which in turn should be aligned with industry standards such as the RIBA Plan of Work or APM Project Stages. Information requirements set out in the EIRs shall only provide enough information to answer the “Plain Language Questions” required at a particular stage, at an appropriate level of detail.
NOTE 1 Where possible these will be based on standards such as the CIC Scope of Services and the various Plans of Work. Specific requirements of delivery and operation should be established with the employer's advisors with a view to minimizing data to that which is needed at each stage.

NOTE 2 This document describes the generic methods for contract management, and design information production management. The detailed methods of design management and the specifics of the procurement strategy and documentation will need to be referenced in detail for actual delivery. These will be described in the project implementation plan (PIP) and contract documents.

NOTE 3 Responsibility for the delivery of information in principle rests with the employer who discharges accountability to the design or construction team as appropriate. Allocation of these responsibilities shall be project specific and documented in the contract. For further information on generic roles, refer to the various Scopes of Services such as those published by CIC.

NOTE 4 Any use of data provided in library form shall be documented in the EIR.

5.1.3 Information requirements shall be specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and time-bound against, for defined project stages and information exchanges.

NOTE These are set out in various Plans of Work including the CIC Scope of Services, to enable suppliers to determine the most effective and efficient method of delivery.

5.1.4 The EIR shall be incorporated into the tender documentation, to enable suppliers to produce their initial BIM execution plan (BEP) – see Clause 6 – upon which their proposed approach, capability and capacity can be evaluated.

5.1.5 The employer, or the employer’s representative, shall be responsible for ensuring that information requirements are included in project contracts in such a way as to avoid duplication of responsibilities.

NOTE 1 For more information on producing the EIR see 5.3.

NOTE 2 Employers are strongly advised to assign the role of project delivery manager to one or more individuals as early as possible to develop these requirements. Under the CIC BIM Protocol (2013) the employer is obliged to appoint a party to undertake the role of Information Manager.

5.2 Origin of the employer’s information requirements (EIR)

5.2.1 EIRs are produced as part of a wider set of documentation for use during project procurement and shall typically be issued as part of the employer’s requirements or tender documentation. The development of the EIR shall start either with the assessment of an existing asset, leading to the development of the employer’s need, or directly with the employer’s need if no existing asset or asset information model is to be considered.

5.2.2 Irrespective of which starting point is used in the information delivery cycle, the steps in the cycle shall be applied separately to the procurement and engagement of each tier 1 supplier required for the project as a whole.

NOTE A tier 1 supplier can provide services to the project (for example, development of the project brief, architectural services, engineering services, construction management services), or can provide goods to the project (for example, constructed assets).

5.3 Contents of the employer’s information requirements (EIR)

The EIR shall include the following contents, as a minimum:

a) information management:
   1) levels of detail – e.g. requirements for information submissions at defined project stages. This is needed to populate the Model Production and Delivery Table required under the Protocol;
   2) training requirements – not likely to be mandatory;
   3) planning of work and data segregation – requirements for bidders’ proposals for the management of the modelling process (e.g. model management, naming conventions, etc.);
   4) co-ordination and clash detection – requirements for bidders’ proposals for the management of the co-ordination process;
   5) collaboration process – requirements for bidders’ proposals for the management of the collaboration process;
   6) HSE/CDM – requirements for bidders’ proposals for BIM/CDE-supported H&S/CDM management;
7) a schedule of any security and integrity requirements for the project;
8) a schedule of any specific information to be either excluded or included from information models;
9) a schedule of any particular constraints set by the employer on the size of model files, the size of extranet uploads or emails, or the file formats that can define the size of a volume;  
**NOTE:** In addition to the generic contents listed above, the EIR may also include project specific items such as pre-construction surveys or a requirement for the employer to receive information models describing newly-generated products and assemblies.

10) compliance plan – requirements for bidders’ proposals for the management of the co-ordination process;

11) a definition of any co-ordinate origin/system (3 dimensions) that the employer requires to be used to place graphical models, for example Ordnance Survey locators, geospatial and location with respect to an agreed origin;

12) a schedule of any software formats, including version numbers, that shall be used by the supply chain to deliver the project; 
**NOTE:** Public sector employers may not wish to or be able or specify software packages to be used by their suppliers, but may instead specify the formats of any outputs. Private sector employers may choose to specify software packages and/or output formats.

b) commercial management:

1) exchange of information – alignment of information exchanges, work stages, purpose and required formats;

2) client’s strategic purposes – details of the expected purposes for information provided in models (See Figure 7 at 6.1.5);

3) a schedule of any software formats, including version numbers, that shall be used by the supply chain to deliver the project;  
**NOTE:** Public sector employers may not wish to or be able or specify software packages to be used by their suppliers, but may instead specify the formats of any outputs. Private sector employers may choose to specify software packages and/or output formats.

4) an initial responsibility matrix setting out any discipline responsibilities for model or information production in line with the defined project stages;

5) a schedule of the standards and guidance documents used to define the BIM processes and protocols to be used on the project;

6) a schedule of any changes to the standard roles, responsibilities, authorities and competences set out in the contract;

c) competence assessment:

1) details of the competence assessment which bidders must respond to;

2) changes to associated tender documentation (e.g. PQQ, PEP, tender questionnaire, tender evaluation plan);

3) BIM tender assessment details.
6 Information delivery – Procurement

Figure 6 – Information delivery – Procurement

6.1 General

NOTE 1 Figure 6 shows how this part of the information delivery cycle fits into the overall process.

NOTE 2 Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.

6.1.1 As part of the main contract selection process, the employer shall request in the EIRs that bidders shall submit details of their approach to project information management, sufficient to demonstrate the supplier’s proposed approach, capability, capacity and competence to meet the EIR.

NOTE 1 The purpose of the pre-contract BEP is to demonstrate the supplier’s proposed approach, capability, capacity and competence to meet the EIR – see 6.2.1.

NOTE 2 It is likely that the BEP will be developed in two phases, pre- and post-contract award.

6.1.2 The BEP shall enable the employer to determine if the requirements within the EIR are achievable, allowing for adjustment or negotiation of the supply chain’s capabilities if necessary.

6.1.3 Post contract award, the BEP shall be re-submitted by the supplier to the employer confirming the supply chain’s capabilities and the master information delivery plan (MIDP) – see 6.2.2 and Figure 7 (6.1.5) – and that all relevant parties have agreed and committed to the BEP.

6.1.4 This BEP shall be submitted by the supplier to the employer on behalf of the whole supply chain and shall include a summary of their capabilities and responsibilities.

6.1.5 Suppliers shall be responsible for the cascade of information through their supply chain.

NOTE 1 This is a critical activity and the employer should take steps through the bid process that details of the supplier’s information cascade process are suitable, well documented and capable of verification.

NOTE 2 The rationale for employing the supply chain to provide information is to support key employer questions (the Plain Language Questions in Figure 7 (6.1.5). The relationship between these questions, the contract and the subsequent engagement of the supply chain is illustrated in Figure 7 (6.1.5).

NOTE 3 The approach that a tier 1 supplier may take to this offers much opportunity to remove waste and improve efficiency. However, appropriate steps should be used for each supply chain tier. Guidance is available in BS 11000-1:2010.
Figure 7 – The whole supply chain contributes information to answer the Plain Language Questions

NOTE Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.
6.2 Production of the pre-contract BIM execution plan (BEP)

The contents of the pre-contract BEP shall consist of everything requested in the EIR plus the following information:

- the project implementation plan (PIP) – see 6.3;
- project goals for collaboration and information modelling;
- major project milestones consistent with the project programme; and
- project information model (PIM) deliverable strategy (for example the CIC Schedule).

NOTE 1 An example partial template for the preparation of the BEP is shown at http://www.cpic.org.uk.

NOTE 2 The contents of the post contract-award BEP are given at 7.2.

6.3 Project implementation plan (PIP)

6.3.1 The PIP shall be submitted, as part of the initial BEP, by each organization bidding for a project.

NOTE The PIP is one of the documents used by an employer to assess the capability, competence and experience of potential suppliers bidding for a project, along with quality documentation.

6.3.2 The PIP shall include the supply chain capability summary form, incorporating, as described in 6.4 to 6.7 and as shown in Figure 8 which reproduces part of Figure 4 (4.1):

- the supplier building information management assessment form(s);
- the supplier information technology assessment form(s); and
- the supplier resource assessment form(s).

NOTE 1 Templates of the documents listed in this section are included in the Construction Project Information Exchange (CPIx) Protocol, available via the CPI website – http://www.cpic.org.uk.

NOTE 2 Alternatively, project teams can submit their response online using CPIx Online (http://www.cpic.org.uk/en/cpix-on-line-tools). The CPIx Protocol Guide and Toolkit is a set of guidance notes, forms and checklists to help employers and their project teams develop a Construction Project Information Xchange (CPIx) Protocol for their projects.

NOTE 3 Use of the supply chain capability summary form means that a supplier does not need to submit assessment forms from each supply chain organization.

NOTE 4 The following clauses relate to supply chain capability assessment and that the purpose of the assessment is primarily for the principal contractor to use the supply chain bidding process to confirm that capability is in place so as to be able to deliver the proposed BEP submitted with the main contract bid. Principal contractors are advised to review supply chain capability as part of their main contract bid process so as to ensure that capability is in place and that supply chain bids are based on proposed information production and management practice.

Figure 8 – Relationship between documents used for information management

![Diagram showing the relationship between documents used for information management](image)

NOTE Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.

6.4 Supplier BIM assessment form

6.4.1 A supplier BIM assessment form shall be completed by all appropriate organizations within the supply chain, so as to demonstrate their competence in and understanding of BIM and provide a comparable document by which to assess their capability.

6.4.2 A supplier BIM assessment form shall include questions examining the following areas of competence:

- gateway questions – a set of key questions about willingness to exchange data and the quality of that data (an extract from a template is shown on CPIx Online);
- BIM analysis – an opportunity for each organization to demonstrate its understanding of each of the analysis methods that could be used on the project;
- BIM project experience – an opportunity for each organization to highlight up to three projects where the benefits of building information management have been realised; and
6.5 Supplier information technology (IT) assessment form

6.5.1 Completed by all appropriate organizations within the supply chain, usually in conjunction with the organization’s IT department, the supplier IT assessment form shall enable organizations to demonstrate their information exchange capability and IT maturity, and provide a meaningful method of assessing differences and similarities with the project IT systems.

6.5.2 The supplier IT assessment form shall include questions examining the following areas of capability and competence:

a) general information and company policies on information exchange – intended to show what electronic data and information the company is willing to exchange (an extract from a template is shown on CPiX Online at http://www.cpic.org.uk/en/cpix-on-line-tools); and

b) technical information on software and systems – intended to enable the company to give the project team confidence that IT Systems and procedures are mature and robust.

6.5.3 Based on responses from the supply chain, methods of information sharing shall be reviewed and resolved by the principal supplier. Agreed solutions shall be documented by the final BEP submitted to the employer.

NOTE 1 If models from one task team cannot be exchanged or read in conjunction with the models from other task teams then drawing production may be difficult to achieve.

NOTE 2 Problems of model, document exchange or interoperability need to be resolved as early as possible, ideally before design is started.

6.6 Supplier resource assessment form

The supplier resource assessment form shall be used to assess an organization’s current resource capability and capacity. The form shall be completed by all appropriate organizations within the delivery team as part of the sub-contract procurement process.

6.7 Supply chain capability summary form

The supply chain capability summary form shall be used to facilitate rapid comparison of the information within the team IT and resource assessment forms provided by each organization (an extract from a template is shown in CPiX Online). The form shall be completed by all appropriate organizations within the Delivery Team as part of the sub-contract procurement process.

NOTE This section is for the principal supplier to obtain enough information concerning the capability, capacity and intent of supply chain members to be assure that it has secured appropriate capability to meet the requirements of the contract and the EIRs in a timely and efficient manner.
7 Information delivery – Post contract-award

Figure 9 – Information delivery – Post contract-award

7.1 General

NOTE 1 Figure 9 shows how this part of the information delivery cycle fits into the overall process.

NOTE 2 Post contract award, the purpose of the BIM Execution Plan is to facilitate the management of delivery on the project. This includes the contractual information exchange requirements set out in a BIM protocol alongside the wider project deliverables established by the contract.

NOTE 3 Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.

Suppliers shall ensure that information delivered by their supply chain is to a standard consistent with the contract (the employer information exchanges), and shall deliver information to their supply chain partners at pre-defined points during the project (the supply chain information exchanges).

7.2 Production of the post contract-award BIM execution plan (BEP)

7.2.1 The contents of the post contract-award BEP shall consist of everything requested in the EIR plus the following information:

a) management:
   1) roles, responsibilities and authorities;
   2) major project milestones consistent with the project programme;
   3) project information model deliverable strategy (for example the CIC Schedules);
   4) survey strategy including the use of point clouds, light detecting and ranging (LiDAR) or global navigation satellite systems (GNSS);
   5) existing legacy data use;
   6) approval of information; and
   7) PIM authorization process;

b) planning and documentation:
   1) revised PIP confirming the capability of the supply chain;
2) agreed project processes for collaboration and information modelling;
3) agreed matrix of responsibilities across the supply chain;
4) TIDP; and
5) MIDP;

c) the standard method and procedure:
   1) the volume strategy;
   2) PIM origin and orientation (which may also be geo-references to the earth’s surface using a specified projection);
   3) file naming convention;
   4) layer naming convention, where used;
   5) agreed construction tolerances for all disciplines;
   6) drawing sheet templates;
   7) annotation, dimensions, abbreviations and symbols; and
   8) attribute data;

d) the IT solutions:
   1) software versions;
   2) exchange formats; and
   3) process and data management systems.

7.3 Production of the master information delivery plan (MIDP)

7.3.1 Following contract award, the project delivery manager (PDM) (see 7.5) shall initiate a project induction meeting to:
   • confirm resource availability and capability in relation to the responsibility matrix issued as part of the EIR;
   • identify training and education needs, and;
   • collaborate to develop the MIDP with reference to the team members’ TIDPs.

7.3.2 The MIDP shall be used by the PDM to manage the delivery of information during the project.

7.3.3 The MIDP shall list the information deliverables for the project, including but not limited to models, drawings or renditions, specifications, equipment schedules, room data sheets, and shall be managed via change control.

7.4 Task information delivery plan (TIDP)

7.4.1 Each task team manager shall compile their own TIDP, with its milestones. These shall be used to convey the responsibility for delivery of each supplier’s information.

7.4.2 Milestones within each TIDP shall be aligned with the design and construction programmes to produce the MIDP (see 7.3).

7.4.3 For each deliverable, the TIDPs shall be used to indicate the team member responsible or to note that such responsibility has yet to be allocated.

7.4.4 The TIDPs shall be used to show how responsibility for the preparation of project documents transfers from one team member to another.

7.4.5 The TIDPs shall be used to take account of the required sequence of model preparation for any work packages used in the project.

NOTE The TIDP is part of the BEP.

7.5 Project delivery team roles, responsibilities and authority

7.5.1 General information

NOTE 1 Clarity of roles, responsibility and authority are an essential aspect of effective information management. Roles should be embedded into contracts, either through a specific schedule of services or more general obligations. Information management roles are likely to be embedded into more extensive project roles – design team leader, principal contractor, etc.

NOTE 2 This PAS identifies the types of roles that should be considered and likely responsibilities. It should be remembered that this specification should be read in conjunction with other contract documentation such as the Plans of Work and Schedule of Services. The roles indicated here are for guidance only and will differ from project to project depending upon market sector, project size and the supply chain tier you are positioned in. Key to the allocation of roles, responsibility and authority is the appropriateness and ability of the organization to be able to deliver. In smaller businesses many of these roles may be executed by the same individual.

NOTE 3 On projects led with the CIC BIM Protocol (2013), a key role is the information manager. The information manager has a role in facilitating the management of the federated model and the production of project outputs. The information manager is also responsible for managing the operation, standards and culture of the common data environment. The information manager is not a stand-alone role and is expected to shift from design team to contractor prior to start on site. Under the BIM Protocol, a client is obliged to appoint an information manager at all project stages.
7.5.1.1 At the induction meeting as many of the information management roles shall be identified and confirmed as possible.

**NOTE** This may be done through a stage-based deliverables matrix and this should be revisited during successive project stages as specialists and supply chain members join the delivery team.

7.5.1.2 The roles and responsibilities of individual team members shall be defined, as shall the schedule of responsibilities for deliverables of the overall team, bearing in mind that one person may deliver multiple roles.

7.5.1.3 The roles shall not be confused with the titles of the managers, which can differ from organization to organization, but the important factors are the ownership, responsibility and authority.

**NOTE 1** The factors in 7.5.1.1 to 7.5.1.3 can be conveyed using the “RACI” indicators (to identify “R” the responsible party, “A” the authorizing party, “C” the contributing parties and “I” the parties to be kept informed).

**NOTE 2** Information management is part of everyone’s job.

7.5.1.4 At the start of a project, roles shall be assigned and recorded and all contact information shall be listed against each role.

7.5.1.5 Roles are either per-project or per-task team and shall be responsible to one another as shown in Figure 10.

7.5.1.6 For successful information management exchange the following activities listed in Table 2 shall be undertaken at all stages of a project.

**Figure 10** – Roles, responsibilities and authority

---

**NOTE 1** All the roles defined in this PAS, and their respective responsibilities and authorities, are stated only in connection with information management. Other arrangements may be put in place for other aspects of the overall project.

**NOTE 2** Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.
## Table 2 – Information exchange activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information management</th>
<th>Project delivery management</th>
<th>Lead designer</th>
<th>Task team manager</th>
<th>Task information manager</th>
<th>Interface manager</th>
<th>Information originator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enable reliable</td>
<td>Assure delivery of</td>
<td>Co-ordinated</td>
<td>Production of</td>
<td>Direct the production</td>
<td>Manage spatial</td>
<td>Develop constituent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information exchange</td>
<td>information exchanges</td>
<td>delivery of</td>
<td>design outputs</td>
<td>of task information</td>
<td>co-ordination</td>
<td>parts of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>through a common data</td>
<td></td>
<td>all design</td>
<td>related to a</td>
<td>in compliance with</td>
<td>on behalf of a</td>
<td>information model in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>environment</td>
<td></td>
<td>information</td>
<td>discipline-specific,</td>
<td>standards and methods</td>
<td>task team</td>
<td>connection with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>package-based or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>specific tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>time-based task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain and receive</td>
<td>Confirm suppliers ability</td>
<td>Manage</td>
<td>Direct the</td>
<td>Propose resolutions to</td>
<td>Production of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information into the</td>
<td>to deliver information</td>
<td>information</td>
<td>production of task</td>
<td>co-ordination clashes</td>
<td>project outputs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Model</td>
<td>requirements</td>
<td>development</td>
<td>information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and information</td>
<td>using agreed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>approvals</td>
<td>systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enable integration and</td>
<td>Confirm design</td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct the</td>
<td>Propose resolutions to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>co-ordination of</td>
<td>deliverables</td>
<td></td>
<td>production of task</td>
<td>co-ordination clashes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information within</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>using agreed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Configure information</td>
<td>Overall lead for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for Project Outputs</td>
<td>configuration management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Populate the information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exchange format for the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 – Information exchange activities (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information management</th>
<th>Project delivery management</th>
<th>Lead designer</th>
<th>Task team manager</th>
<th>Task information manager</th>
<th>Interface manager</th>
<th>Information originator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accept reject</td>
<td>Accept reject</td>
<td>Confirm status and</td>
<td>Issue approved</td>
<td>Confirm that</td>
<td>Propose resolutions to</td>
<td>Ownership of model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information exchanges</td>
<td>information exchanges</td>
<td>approve information</td>
<td>information within</td>
<td>information is suitable</td>
<td>resolutions to clash</td>
<td>information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>within the common</td>
<td>within the common</td>
<td>for issue within</td>
<td>within the common</td>
<td>for issue within</td>
<td>Ownership of model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>data environment</td>
<td>data environment</td>
<td>common data</td>
<td>data environment</td>
<td>a common data</td>
<td>information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>environment</td>
<td></td>
<td>environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No design responsibility</td>
<td>Approve design changes</td>
<td>Confirm that</td>
<td>Issue approved</td>
<td>Propose resolutions to</td>
<td>Ownership of model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or right to issue</td>
<td>approved proposed</td>
<td>information</td>
<td>information for</td>
<td>resolutions to clash</td>
<td>information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>instructions</td>
<td>changes</td>
<td>suitable for</td>
<td>issue within the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a common data</td>
<td>common data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>environment</td>
<td>environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.6 Volumes

7.6.1 Where necessitated by technical limitations, the project shall be broken into a number of volumes.

**NOTE 1** The selection of volumes and their allocation to project team members should be considered very carefully.

**NOTE 2** The volume strategy should be owned and managed at the highest level of the project management team.

**NOTE 3** Use of volumes enables concurrent work on models, information security, file size and other key information activities tasks to be managed effectively.

7.6.2 All members of the design team shall agree volumes as fully as possible at the start of a project and publish them as a shared document. This document shall be reviewed at successive project stages and amended and re-published as necessary.

**NOTE 1** A volume may be based on important aspects of design, such as structure or cores, on specialized functions such as HVAC (heating, ventilating and air conditioning) systems or on strategic elements such as cladding.

**NOTE 2** Individual design team members may require differing arrangements of volumes for their individual needs. Different sets of volumes do not have to relate to the same sub-divisions of the project. See Figure 11 (7.6.3) for a tunnel design example.

7.6.3 If a project is divided into a number of volumes for modelling purposes, then COBie-UK-2012 files shall be issued from each discipline volume-specific model file at each employer information exchange and shall be combined into a single co-ordinated COBie-UK-2012 deliverable for the whole project, unless specified in the EIR.

**NOTE** See Figure 12 for a building spatial co-ordination example.
Figure 11 – Volumes within a tunnel design for spatial co-ordination

NOTE 1 An example of volumes for spatial co-ordination in a tunnel design is shown above. Volumes are organised by discipline around the periphery of the tunnel (e.g. catenary volume, evacuation walkway and emergency accessway, etc.)

NOTE 2 Soft volumes shall also be taken into account for spatial co-ordination. Such items as the “kinetic envelope” should be provided and owned.

NOTE 3 Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.
Figure 12 – Volumes within a building for spatial co-ordination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Architectural volumes per floor also showing volumes for the structural members finishes only.</th>
<th>Structural volumes per floor for the concrete columns and foundations.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ductwork volumes only per floor.</td>
<td>Ductwork shown in context with the architectural model</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


NOTE 2 Volumes are by discipline per floor or level of building to produce a federated model.

NOTE 3 Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.
8 Information delivery – Mobilization

Figure 13 – Information delivery – Mobilization

NOTE 1 Figure 13 shows how this part of the information delivery cycle fits into the overall process.

NOTE 2 Mobilization is important because it provides the opportunity for the project delivery team to make sure that the information management solution works before any design work is started. This includes making sure that the necessary documents have been prepared and agreed, the information management processes are in place, the team has the appropriate skills and competences, and that the technology supports and enables the management of information according to this PAS.

NOTE 3 Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.

8.1 The agreed BEP, and any subsequent changes, shall be communicated to all members of the project delivery team.

8.2 The selected software, IT systems and infrastructure, including the CDE, shall be procured, implemented and tested.

NOTE One element not defined in BS 1192:2007 or in this document is a solution to the problem of interoperability between the different CAD and BIM solutions used within a project. Generally the guidance would state that whenever possible data/information should be made in the native format of the solutions being used. In addition, the project teams should agree on the number of data renditions required, and check these renditions to ensure their interoperability or to understand the limitations of the solutions they relate to.

8.3 The training and education needs of all members of the project delivery team who are involved in the production, analysis and review of the PIM shall be assessed and appropriate action taken, with particular reference to the sub-sections of the BEP: management, planning and documentation; the standard method and procedure, and the IT solutions.
9 Information delivery – Production

**NOTE 1** Figure 14 shows how this part of the information delivery cycle fits into the overall process.

**NOTE 2** Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.

### 9.1 General

**NOTE 1** The PIM shall be progressively developed and delivered to the employer through a series of information exchanges as defined within, for example, the CIC Scope of Services, at key points to coincide with the employer’s decision-making processes as defined by the EIRs and the CIC BIM Protocol (2013).

**NOTE 2** In Level 2 the PIM is likely to comprise of a set of federated building information models rather than just a single integrated building information model, along with associated non-graphical data and associated documentation.

### 9.1.1 The PIM shall be developed in accordance with the MIDP.

**NOTE 2** The PIM is likely to start as a design intent model and then be developed into a virtual construction model as ownership passes from design suppliers to the construction supplier and their supply chain. The arrangements for this transfer of ownership, including its timing, should be defined in the conditions of engagement or contracts between the employer and the suppliers.
9.1.3 The PIM shall consist of graphical data and non-graphical data documents as defined in the MIDP.

NOTE The following clauses describe the managed business processes that need to be executed to enable the delivery of the defined data delivery to the employer. The exact definition of the nature of the deliveries is defined in the various plans of work. For the purpose of this PAS the proposed CIC plan of work has been selected by way of example. If an alternative method is selected an appropriate strategy will need to be defined to enable delivery.

9.1.4 Data delivery shall include some all of the following data entities: native (product-proprietary) file formats, COBie-UK-2012 and read-only PDF; to enable a complete Level 2 project.

9.1.5 The process of delivery management as defined in 9.2 shall be followed to ensure the data at each information exchange is accurate, appropriate and unambiguous.

NOTE Further information on COBie-UK-2012 is available on the BIM Task Force website http://www.bimtaskgroup.org/COBie-UK-2012.

9.1.6 The process of creation, sharing and issuing of production information shall be consistent so that information is managed and delivered in a lean and timely manner. The CDE shall be used to enable this process.

NOTE The CDE process can be implemented in a number of ways, depending on organizational preference.

9.2 Common data environment (CDE)

9.2.1 General

NOTE 1 The CDE described in BS 1192:2007 and Building Information Management – A Standard Framework and Guide to BS 1192, Richards, 2010, remains the core of the delivery process. With the addition of the operational phases and the requirement to deliver non-graphical data the model has been enhanced for PAS 1192-2, as shown in Figure 15.

NOTE 2 The CDE is a means of providing a collaborative environment for sharing work and can be implemented in a number of ways. For the development of various forms of collaboration within organizations and across project teams refer to BS 11000-1 and -2.

NOTE 3 Advantages of adopting such a CDE include:
• ownership of information remains with the originator, although it is shared and reused, only the originator shall change it;
• shared information reduces the time and cost in producing co-ordinated information;
• any number of documents can be generated from different combinations of model files.

If the procedures for sharing information are consistently used by the design teams, spatial co-ordination is a by-product of using the CDE processes, and will deliver production information that is right first time.

Information can subsequently be used for construction planning, estimating, cost planning, facilities management and other downstream activities.

Data within a CDE is finely granulated and structured to ease its re-use. It provides the ability to produce traditional drawings or documents as views of multi-authored data within the CDE. It also gives greater control over the revisions and versions of that data. The structured use of a CDE requires strict discipline by all members of a design team in terms of adherence to agreed approaches and procedures, compared with a more traditional approach. The benefits listed above can only be realized with a commitment to operate in a disciplined and consistent manner throughout a project.

NOTE 4 The information delivery cycle has two distinct points of entry, but they both lead to Gate 6. For stand-alone new-build projects, start at the top right box “Client shared area/Employers Information Requirements (see Figure 15), as there is no legacy data from an existing asset. But for projects that are part of a larger portfolio or estate, or for projects working on existing buildings and structures, then the starting point will be with the existing AIM. An assessment will need to be made whether to refurbish, build new, or demolish and re-build.

NOTE 5 The overlap between PAS 1192-2 and PAS 1192-3 (to be developed) occurs in the transfer of handover information from the PIM to the AIM and the extraction of legacy information to form part of the employers information requirements for re-build and refurbishment project as defined in Note 4.

NOTE 6 Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.
Figure 15 – Extending the common data environment (CDE)
9.2.2 Functional sections of the common data environment (CDE) (processing work through the CDE)

NOTE There are “gates”, or sign-off procedures, that allow data/information to pass between the sections. The naming of the gates is significant.

9.2.2.1 The WIP section of the CDE shall be used to hold unapproved information for each organizational role.

NOTE The WIP section concludes with the Approval Gate (“1” in Figure 15 (9.2.1)) which represents the transition to SHARED, where the information is checked, reviewed and approved by the lead designer.

9.2.2.2 To pass through the Approval Gate (Gate 1) a check, review and approval process shall be carried out before issue to the SHARED area. The checks shall include:

a) model suitability check;
b) SMP check;
c) technical content check;
d) COBie completeness check;
e) drawings extract checks along with any additional documentation that is shared as a co-ordinated package of information; and
f) approval by the task team manager.

9.2.2.3 The SHARED section of the CDE shall be used to hold information which has been approved for sharing with other organizations to use as reference material for their own design development. When all design has been completed, the information shall be placed for authorization in the Client Shared Area.

9.2.2.4 To pass through the Authorized Gate (“2” in Figure 15 (9.2.1)) the information in the Client Shared Area shall be authorized by the employer or the employer’s representative.

NOTE 1 Published documentation includes Project Outputs required at defined project stages, discrete deliverables including planning and regulatory submissions together with issues for construction. This represents the transition to PUBLISHED DOCUMENTATION.

NOTE 2 It is recognized that some contract forms make this process difficult. In these cases it should be made clear to the employer who within the delivery team is responsible for undertaking these processes. This should be documented in the EIR and BEP.

9.2.2.5 Checks for authorization shall include checking for compliance with the EIR deliverables and completion of the Plain Language Questions.

9.2.2.6 The PUBLISHED DOCUMENTATION section of the CDE shall be used to hold published information. This section concludes with the Verified Gate (“3” in Figure 15 (9.2.1)), which represents the transition to ARCHIVE.

9.2.2.7 The ARCHIVE section of the CDE shall be used to record all progress as each project milestone is met and shall hold a record of all transaction and change orders to provide an audit trail in the event of a dispute.

9.2.2.8 In addition, as-constructed information shall be checked and verified in the PUBLISHED section to allow transition through the Verified Gate to the ARCHIVE section.

9.2.2.9 An additional WIP section of the CDE shall be used to hold unapproved information for the specialist contractors and designers. It shall also conclude with the Approved Gate (“4” in Figure 15 (9.2.1)) which represents the transition to SHARED where the information is checked, reviewed and approved by the main contractor and the designers who have responsibility for ensuring compliance to the design, using the same approval checks as Gate 1.

NOTE The work processes in this activity replicate the status, revision and versions as for the professional design activities. There will be additional status for information that is issued to the fabrication or manufacturing workshops or directly to CNC machines.

9.2.2.10 There shall be a “change of ownership” procedure for the information and objects that specialist sub-contractors introduce to replace the original designers’ intent such that the resulting graphical models can be used for fabrication, manufacture and installation.

NOTE This change of ownership should be fully understood, specialist teams do not alter the models produced by the professional designers: they build new models defining the virtual construction model (VCM).

9.2.2.11 In the PIM only the objects representing those elements or products that are to be actually constructed by the specialist sub-contractors shall be included. The objects representing design intent shall not appear unless they are also the items to be built.

NOTE For example, a brick wall may not be owned by the specialist, but shall instead remain owned by the architect. However, all objects shall appear in the project archive. See also 9.2.2.7.

9.2.2.12 The Accepted Gate (“5” in Figure 15 (9.2.1)) shall be used for information to be verified (Information Exchanges 1, 2 & 3 in Figure 15 (9.2.1)) and validated (Information Exchange 6 in Figure 15 (9.2.1)) when it is delivered as an AIM for use in operation of the facility.

NOTE This process will be iterative if the sign-off process finds that the requirements for the information exchange have not been met.
9.2.3 Status codes in the common data environment (CDE) (data definitions for the CDE)

The specialist contractors and designers shall use the same processes and status as for the professional design development and co-ordination procedures. The specialist contractor and construction process status codes are shown in Table 3.

**NOTE 3** Additional codes have been introduced to allow for the delivery of the PIM and AIM plus the manufacturing models or documentation sign-off process.

### Table 3 – Status codes in the CDE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work in Progress (WIP)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| S0 | Initial status or WIP  
Master document index of file identifiers uploaded into the extranet. |
| **Shared** | |
| S1 | Issued for co-ordination  
The file is available to be “shared” and used by other disciplines as a background for their information. |
| S2 | Issued for information |
| S3 | Issued for internal review and comment |
| S4 | Issued for construction approval |
| S5 | Issued for manufacture |
| S6 | Issued for PIM authorization (Information Exchanges 1-3) |
| S7 | Issued for AIM authorization (Information Exchange 6) |
| **D1** | Issued for costing |
| **D2** | Issued for tender |
| **D3** | Issued for contractor design |
| **D4** | Issued for manufacture/procurement |
| **AM** | As maintained |
| **Published documentation** | |
| A | Issued for construction |
| B | Partially signed-off:  
For construction with minor comments from the client. All minor comments should be indicated by the insertion of a cloud and a statement of “in abeyance” until the comment is resolved, then resubmitted for full authorization. |
| **AB** | As-built handover documentation, PDF, native models, COBie, etc. |

**NOTE 1** Additional codes S6 and S7 are highlighted.

**NOTE 2** Status codes are provided by information originators to define how information may be used during different phases of the CDE. The SHARED suitability codes are stated as “Issued for...” but this does not infer any contractual or insurable purpose. Their purpose is to limit the reuse of the information at that stage. See also BS 1192 and Building Information Modelling – A Standard Framework and Guide to BS 1192, Richards, 2010.

**NOTE 3** Status codes are used in connection with the gateways in the CDE. They are not related to version numbering, the levels of detail or the stages in the plan of work.
9.3 File and layer naming conventions

9.3.1 General

File and layer naming conventions shall be extended from those defined in BS 1192:2007.

*NOTE* Employers with legacy databases of objects or details can migrate these to the extended naming conventions using a controlled process.

9.3.2 File naming

9.3.2.1 The standard file types to be used in naming files shall be extended to include file type “CR” for a clash rendition, and file type “IE” for information exchange files which would include COBie-UK-2012.

For example:

```
SH-CA-00-LG1-CR-A-00001
```

“SH” is the project location

“CA” is the two-character code for the originator

“00” indicates that the file covers more than one volume

“LG1” indicates the file relates to the Lower Ground floor level 1

“CR” indicates the file is a clash rendition

“A” indicates the discipline that created the drawing is an architect

“00001” is the unique number when concatenated with “file type” and “discipline”

9.3.2.2 The standard file types for drawings and models and for documents are shown on http://www.bimtaskgroup.org.

9.3.2.3 Any additional file types required for a particular project shall be defined and agreed at the start of the project and registered in the EIR and BEP.

9.3.2.4 All other aspects of file naming shall be as defined in BS 1192:2007 and as explained in section 6.1 of *Building Information Modelling – A Standard Framework and Guide to BS 1192*, Richards, 2010.

A file naming convention has been retained in PAS1192-2 to assist organizations without electronic document management systems using metadata. File naming means that Windows Explorer or Macintosh Finder can be used to search for relevant files.

9.4 Spatial co-ordination

9.4.1 General

*NOTE* A number of tools and processes are used to develop and deliver the design. These include; architectural, engineering, services, environmental elements, programme, cost, carbon, etc.

Information on these tools is proprietary in nature and not expressly covered in this PAS. However all data both geometric and tabular (COBie) is managed in the CDE. A key part of the delivery processing is spatial co-ordination.

9.4.2 Each task team shall take ownership of their own WIP information and model(s) and check and review these with their task team manager before issuing the information and model(s) to the SHARED part of the CDE.

*NOTE* The process for collaborative design and clash avoidance is shown in Figures 16 to 19 (9.4.10).

9.4.3 If a clash is detected which cannot be resolved by the task team interface manager then the lead designer shall be involved in the discussion to reach agreement and make the necessary changes to the models.

9.4.4 Once the lead designer is satisfied that clashes have been resolved, the CAD and technical checks have been completed and the COBie-UK-2012 files and drawings in PDF have been extracted then all information shall be SHARED.

*NOTE* If separate COBie-UK-2012 files have been produced by each task team then these shall be co-ordinated prior to forwarding to the employer. See also 6.5.3.
9.4.5 Revision numbering and version control shall be kept in step between any model and its related information, whenever either the model or the information is changed.

This is explained in BS1192:2007 and Building Information Modelling – A Standard Framework and Guide to BS 1192, Richards, 2010.

Revision numbers in WIP are P1.1, P1.2 … P1.n, P2.1, P2.2 … P2.n, etc. The relevant status code is S0. Revision numbers in SHARED are P1, P2, P3, etc. The relevant status codes are S1-S7, D1-D4 and AM. Revision numbers in PUBLISHED are C1, C2, C3 etc. The relevant status codes are A, B and AB.

Version numbers are subdivisions of a revision.

9.4.6 Specialist design, manufacturing and fabrication models shall be reviewed for clashes in the same way as described in Figures 16 to 19 (9.4.10).

Clash checking shall be carried out during design, with particular focus on hard clashes and construction tolerances.

9.4.7 Clash avoidance/checking shall be carried out during specialist design and development of the virtual construction model, with particular focus on soft clashes (for example, positioning of insulation around ductwork and pipework) and proximity checks (for example, the placement of oxygen and other gases or flammable substances in hospitals).

**NOTE** Checks can also be made for health and safety issues (for example trip hazards from low-level pipework and reach hazards relating to positioning of equipment such as valves).

9.4.8 Clash avoidance/checking shall continue during the construction process as the models are updated with as-constructed information and checked against the construction tolerances specified in the contract.

9.4.9 Construction or manufacturing defects or deviation out of tolerance shall be measured and the models updated within a time agreed across the project (e.g. 24 or 48 hours) so that impact on following trades can be checked and appropriate decisions can be taken. Responsibility for this activity should be defined in the PEP.

**NOTE 1** For example, if foundations have been cast too high or too low then changes may be required to the structural steel frame before frame elements are fabricated to avoid site re-work and delays.

**NOTE 2** If the actual construction is within tolerance then no report needs to be made to update the model.

**NOTE 3** This clause does not mean that the whole project has to be continually resurveyed but the check is to see if the constructed items are as defined, in terms of size and position, that would normally be carried out during construction.

9.4.10 To achieve spatial co-ordination when the software solutions of the individual teams are incapable of a reasonable level of interoperability then clash renditions shall be used. The clash renditions shall be made in the format of the viewing tool that has been chosen for the project. The clash rendition for each model for each discipline shall be issued to the SHARED area along with all other deliverables.

**NOTE 1** The individual SHARED models may be combined for design review by the lead designer. Design decisions or clashes that cannot be resolved by the interface managers can then be reviewed and resolved.

**NOTE 2** Clash avoidance and detection is continuous throughout project delivery.
Figure 16 – Architect’s issue to SHARED

1. Check model for stage completeness, dimensional accuracy and against modelling standards
2. Change model suitability to S1 (Suitable for co-ordination) and set major revision
3. Share clash rendition
4. Check information exchange (COBie) and documentation for stage completeness
5. Change information exchange and documentation suitability to S2 (Suitable for information) and set major revision
6. Approve all design deliverables to be shared for selected suitability

Figure 17 – Structural engineer’s issue to SHARED

1. Native model and clash rendition
2. Information exchange (COBie)
3. Documentation

Figure 18 – MEP engineer’s issue to SHARED

1. Native model and clash rendition
2. Information exchange (COBie)
3. Documentation

NOTE Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.
9.5 Publication of information

9.5.1 The employer or the employer’s representative (who may be the lead designer or the supplier) shall sign-off the information and request publication.

**NOTE** It is recognized that some contract forms make this process difficult. In these cases it must be made clear to the employer who within the delivery team is responsible for undertaking these processes. This should be documented in the EIR and BEP.

9.5.2 Each task team manager shall change the status of their team’s signed-off information, update the revision and issue the information and model(s) to the PUBLISHED part of the CDE.

9.5.3 Information shall be issued according to the process above in a digital format. This will be defined in the EIR (5.3).

**NOTE** The issue should normally take the form of four data sets, including: native BIM files, clash rendition (for an agreed model viewer), documents and 2D drawings as PDFs, and COBie data.

9.5.4 The clash renditions, drawings and COBie data shall be created from the native files to ensure consistency.

**NOTE** The information should be checked for completion and issued to recipients using a collaboration system to ensure security and integrity. Tools to help automate the checking process are continually being added to the Task Group website http://www.bimtaskgroup.org.

9.6 Design for bespoke manufacture

9.6.1 When the design requires manufacturing of bespoke products, a placeholder shall be used in the model such that the volume allocation is adequate for final installation, including necessary connections (interfaces) and access for installation, maintenance and replacement.

**NOTE 1** This follows a “process engineering” workflow and procedure.

**NOTE 2** Use of placeholders for bespoke products within the allocated volume allows the design team and the manufacturer to work in parallel and avoid unnecessary updates to the spatially co-ordinated model.

**NOTE 3** It is not necessary for the virtual construction model to contain the level of detail required in the manufacturing process of a bespoke product where each and every component making up that product may need to be modelled for manufacturing purposes.

9.7 Using assemblies and library information

9.7.1 General

**NOTE** The use of Library systems to store, manage and share pre-defined assemblies or sub-assemblies is a key productivity enhancing feature of most BIM tools. They are also a useful location to store lessons learnt for future projects.

Libraries may be managed by the employer or their agent with specific controls which will be documented or referenced by the EIR.

If assemblies are used from Public Libraries, due attention should be made to quality and commercial
9.8 Levels of model definition

9.8.1 The minimum level of detail needed by the team or the employer for each model’s purpose shall be defined.

NOTE 1 Key to the success of information management is clear definition of requirements as defined by the information exchanges and including COBie and geometry.

NOTE 2 It is wasteful for the supply chain to deliver a greater level of detail than is needed which may also overload the IT systems and networks available.

9.8.2 The level of model definition required in a model at an information exchange shall be defined in the EIR and the CIC BIM Protocol (2013). The level of graphical information and data to be delivered at each information exchange will be defined with reference to industry standards.

9.8.3 The levels of model definition shall be articulated in the BEP and need to be fully understood by all relevant members of the project team.

9.8.4 Levels of model definition shall conform to:
   a) the EIR;
   b) the scope of work set out by the CIC Scope of Services, for example, related to the project stages; and
   c) the Uniclass classification tables regarding the relationship of systems, products and elements with the specification and the cost plan.

NOTE The Uniclass classification tables shall define the progressive maturity of the model from outline, spaces and volumes, to design elements and finally to products. See Table 3 (9.2.3) for cross-reference of CIC stages and Uniclass classification tables.

9.9 Levels of model detail and model information

NOTE The levels of model detail and model information shown in Figure 20 (9.9.7) are derived from the BIM Task Group website: http://www.bimtaskgroup.org.

9.9.1 Brief

NOTE At briefing stage, the graphical model will either not exist or will inherit information from the AIM (for work on existing buildings and structures).

9.9.2 Concept

NOTE At concept design stage the graphical design may only show a massing diagram or specify a symbol in 2D to represent a generic element. See BS 8541-2.

9.9.3 Design

At design stage the objects shall be represented in 3D with the specification attached.

NOTE 1 See BS 8541-1, BS 8541-3 and BS 8541-4.

NOTE 2 The level of detail should as a minimum represent the space allocation for the product’s access space for maintenance, installation and replacement space in addition to its operational space. For example, the space required to turn on or turn off valves.

9.9.4 Definition

At definition stage the object shall be based on a generic representation of the element.

NOTE The specification properties and attributes from design allow selection of a manufacturer’s product, unless the product is nominated, free issue or already selected.

9.9.5 Build and commission

At build and commission stage any generic object shall be replaced with the object procured from the manufacturer. Any essential information to be retained shall be reattached or relinked to the replacement object.

NOTE 1 Inheritance of information is a complex issue and should be well understood and the solution tested at mobilization.

NOTE 2 The selection of the product should give further detail about flanges and connections so that final positioning of pipework and ductwork can be defined.

NOTE 3 Although minimum levels of graphical detail can be specified at each design stage, care should be taken that adequate detail is provided to convey design intent and installation requirements. See Design Framework for Building Services, Churcher, 2012,
9.9.6 Handover and close-out

At the handover and close-out stage all necessary information about the product shall be included in the handover document and attached to the commissioning and handover documentation. The as-constructed model shall represent the as-constructed project in content and dimensional accuracy.

NOTE In addition is all the manufacturer’s maintenance and operation documentation, commissioning records, health and safety requirements, the final COBie information exchange, as-built models in native format and all relevant documentation.

9.9.7 Operation and in-use

At the operation stage, the performance of the project shall be verified against the EIR and the brief. If the specification is not met and changes are necessary then objects that have been changed or replaced with different equipment shall be updated accordingly.

At the in-use stage, the object’s information shall be updated with any supplementary information such as maintenance records or replacement dates, and objects that have been changed or replaced with different equipment shall be updated accordingly.
### Figure 20 – Levels of model definition for building and infrastructure projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage number</th>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>1 Brief</th>
<th>2 Concept</th>
<th>3 Definition</th>
<th>4 Design</th>
<th>5 Build and commission</th>
<th>6 Handover and closeout</th>
<th>7 Operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Systems to be covered</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graphical illustration (building project)</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graphical illustration (infrastructure project)</td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What the model can be relied upon for</td>
<td>Model information communicating the initial response to the brief, aesthetic intent and outline performance requirements. The model can be used for early design development, analysis and co-ordination. Model content is not fixed and may be subject to further design development. The model can be used for co-ordination, sequencing and estimating purposes</td>
<td>Models which communicate the response to the brief, aesthetic intent and some performance information that can be used for analysis, design development and early contractor engagement. The model can be used for co-ordination, sequencing and estimating purposes including the agreement of a first stage target price</td>
<td>A dimensionally correct and co-ordinated model which communicates the response to the brief, aesthetic intent and some performance information that can be used for analysis, design development and early contractor engagement. The model can be used for co-ordination, sequencing and estimating purposes including the agreement of a first stage target price</td>
<td>A dimensionally correct and co-ordinated model that can be used to verify compliance with regulatory requirements. The model can be used as the start point for the incorporation of specialist contractor design models and can include information that can be used for fabrication, co-ordination, sequencing and estimating purposes, including the agreement of a target price/guaranteed maximum price</td>
<td>An accurate model of the asset before and during construction incorporating co-ordinated specialist sub-contract design models and associated model attributes. The model can be used for sequencing of installation and capture of as-installed information</td>
<td>An accurate record of the asset as a constructed at handover, including all information required for operation and maintenance</td>
<td>An updated record of the asset at a fixed point in time incorporating any major changes made since handover, including performance and condition data and all information required for operation and maintenance</td>
<td>The full content will be available in the yet to be published PAS 1192-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage number</td>
<td>Model name</td>
<td>Output</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Project brief and procurement strategy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Brief</td>
<td>Project brief and concept approval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Concept</td>
<td>Approval of co-ordinated developed design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Integrated production information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Integrated production information. Complete fabrication and manufacturing details, system and element verification, operation and maintenance information Modify to represent as installed model with all associated data references</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Build and commission</td>
<td>As constructed systems, operation and maintenance information Agreed Final Account Building Log Book Information gathered as key elements are completed to feed installation information for the later packages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Handover and closeout</td>
<td>Agreed final account In use performance compared against Project Brief Project process feedback: risk, procurement, information management, Soft Landings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Operation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage number</td>
<td>Model name</td>
<td>Parametric information</td>
<td>1 Brief</td>
<td>2 Concept</td>
<td>3 Definition</td>
<td>4 Design</td>
<td>5 Build and commission</td>
<td>6 Handover and closeout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stage 1: Brief**
- Project needs updated: definition of function(s), operation, quality and time.
- Benchmarking updated: capital cost, maintenance cost, time, health & safety, risk procurement contract.
- Performance requirements: Priorities and aspirations for: function, mix of uses, scale, location, quality, performance in use, cost (Capex & Opex), value, time, health & safety, embodied and in use carbon, energy and resource needs, standard designs.
- Site constraints: geo-spatial, available site information.

**Stage 2: Concept**
- Sufficient data to estimate per square metre rates and other similar metrics.
- Wireframe or surfaces/solids concepts, site context placeholder/volumes/package volumes, system routings, site selection, datum points & levels.
- Integrated concept for the project setting scope, scale, form and primary design criteria: architectural form and spatial arrangements, structural & civil philosophy and spatial arrangements, services philosophy and special arrangements preliminary assessment of energy use and embodied/in-use carbon, incorporation of standard systems.

**Stage 3: Definition**
- Co-ordinated Developed Design for the project setting: generic systems, objects, or assemblies represented with, detailed form, function, cost, defining all components in terms of overall size, typical detail, performance and outline specification, primary geometry frozen, integration of standard designs and systems, builder’s work strategy for significant interfaces, energy use, embodied and in use carbon.
- Detailed design and construction programme.

**Stage 4: Design**
- Production information for the project: Specific systems, objects and assemblies accurate in terms of specification, size, form, function and location.
- Critical interfaces flagged.
- Fixing methodology confirmed dash free detailed production programme sequence. Updated: energy use and embodied and in use carbon, detailed design and construction programme.

**Stage 5: Build and commission**
- Production record for the project: Specific systems, objects and assemblies accurate in terms of specification, size, form, function and location.
- Critical interfaces flagged.
- Production record for the project: Specific systems, objects and assemblies accurate in terms of specification, size, form, function and location.

**Stage 6: Handover and closeout**
- Updated: Geometry and installed product information, “as constructed” accuracy/resolution of information.
- Commissioned performance for: Opex, energy, and carbon.
- Detailed maintenance methodology.
- Snagging actions status.

**Stage 7: Operation**
- Revisions for modifications to the facility during its life.
### Figure 20 – Levels of model definition for building and infrastructure projects (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage number</th>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>1 Brief</th>
<th>2 Concept</th>
<th>3 Definition</th>
<th>4 Design</th>
<th>5 Build and commission</th>
<th>6 Handover and closeout</th>
<th>7 Operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employer activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical interfaces and logic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Environmental control philosophy and special allocations for ventilation; Availability of the site and outline construction methodology assumptions; Services capacity for the site</td>
<td>Permitted working hours on site</td>
<td>Assumed procurement package performance and spatial boundaries; Other relationships between procurement packages; Assumed design codes regarding dimensional tolerances of related systems; Foundation tolerances for use of off-site modular systems; Assessment of predicted movements (thermal, loading, creep, shrinkage etc.)</td>
<td>Allocated procurement package relationships, performance and special boundaries; Actual dimensional interface requirements; Records of any derogations approved; Actual on-site to off-site interface specifications</td>
<td>Progressive capture of actual dimensional data for critical interface dimensions. Progressive capture of information for calculating material requirements for follow on packages. Capture of object status for progress reporting and collaborative planning</td>
<td>As constructed 3D scan Element performance test results System commissioning status</td>
<td>As modified survey data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage number</td>
<td>Model name</td>
<td>Construction requirements (examples)</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Build and commission</td>
<td>Handover and closeout</td>
<td>Operation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Brief</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Concept</td>
<td>Crane use zones; Traffic diversions</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Status of construction requirements.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Safety briefing information.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Construction methodology, sequence</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and movement details.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Formwork details.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic diversion details.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Finalized logistics sequences.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Finalized logistics sequences.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Details of actual off-site system to be used.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Figure 20 – Levels of model definition for building and infrastructure projects (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage number</th>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project facilities (welfare, IT infrastructure, security etc.), on site and off-site (examples)</td>
<td>Collaboration tools; Data standards</td>
<td>Assumed access and welfare zones; Design team collocation</td>
<td>Confirmed access zones and design team collocation</td>
<td>Finalized, costed plan. Critical lead times confirmed. Off-site manufacturing capacity reserved</td>
<td>Recording status of security critical areas (EG unchecked, sweep in progress, screened and secured)</td>
<td>Security system operational.</td>
<td>Security system operational, Facilities management systems running on model generated information</td>
<td>Geometry for letting activities accessed from “as constructed” model</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes and associated project documents, based on model information</th>
<th>Management systems for information and decision making</th>
<th>Approval policies</th>
<th>Technical strategy studies</th>
<th>Commissioning philosophy</th>
<th>NRM1 capital cost plan</th>
<th>NRM3 maintenance cost plan</th>
<th>Room Information sheets, Detailed construction methodology</th>
<th>NRM2 procurement pricing schedule, NRM3 maintenance cost plan, health and safety risk management plan, risk management plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**NOTE 1** A lack of alignment between different members of the supply chain regarding progress through the stages can introduce risks to the project. If this is likely it is important to effectively manage the programme and deliverables' schedules to ensure continuity of data and deliverables.

**NOTE 2** Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.
9.10 Classification

Models, documents, project information, cost information and specifications shall all be organized using a classification system to allow external processes such as cost planning to take place.

**NOTE 1** This should not be confused with an object naming convention that may contain the classification code.

**NOTE 2** A classification system provides a common terminology and structure to which all project documents and information can be related. The use of classification is required in information exchange and in the COBie-UK-2012 templates.

**NOTE 3** Table 4 shows how different classification systems, or parts thereof, may be used to classify different types of information.

**NOTE 4** As the project progresses the specification and design information required for the project increases in detail. This information is classified according to different tables in Uniclass.

**NOTE 5** The cost plan and specification required at appraisal is progressively defined throughout the lifecycle.

**NOTE 6** The classification system aids the co-ordination of the levels of elemental cost and the levels of specification to be managed.

**NOTE 7** For more information about Uniclass, see the CPI website (http://www.cpic.org.uk). For more information about NRM, see the RICS website (http://www.rics.org/nrm). For more information about CESMM see the ICE website (http://www.ice.org.uk).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Classification system/part</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concept cost information</td>
<td>NRM1, CESMM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed cost information</td>
<td>NRM2, CESMM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concept design information</td>
<td>Uniclass/Entities, Spaces, Elements tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed design information</td>
<td>Uniclass/Elements, Systems tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production information</td>
<td>Uniclass/Systems, Work results, Products tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation information</td>
<td>Uniclass/Products tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As constructed information</td>
<td>Uniclass/Systems, Products tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-use design information</td>
<td>Uniclass/Systems, Work results, Products tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance cost information</td>
<td>NRM3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10 Information delivery – Asset information model (AIM maintenance)

NOTE 1 Guidance on the use and maintenance of the AIM is to be documented in PAS 1192-3.

NOTE 2 Figure 21 shows how this part of the information delivery cycle fits into the overall process.

NOTE 3 It is expected that the data generated during the delivery phase is described above together with the commissioning information will form the majority of the information to be handed over at completion. COBie-UK-2012 is the recommended format for information exchange. If extra information such as proprietary geometric models (2D or 3D) or extra data attributes are required employers and project delivery teams should document these alternative formats in the EIR at project commencement.

NOTE 4 COBie-UK-2012 is a data schema for holding and transmitting data to support the delivery and operation of a facility. It is formally defined as a subset of the Industry Foundation Classes, but can also be conveyed using worksheets or relational databases.

NOTE 5 During traditional projects, most of the data required by COBie-UK-2012 is already delivered in unstructured form. COBie-UK-2012 gives the opportunity to input critical data just once, allowing it to be re-used in many outputs, be tested in many ways and be delivered to many applications including facility management and asset management systems.

NOTE 6 For a detailed definition of the COBie-UK-2012 schema, see the BIM Task Group website http://www.bimtaskgroup.org.

NOTE 7 Copyright is claimed in this illustration. Reproduction of this illustration and making products from it might infringe that copyright. Details of the copyright owners can be found in the Foreword.
10.2 Handover process between CAPEX and OPEX

10.2.1 The effective transfer of structured information between the asset lifecycle stages delivers significant value. To effectively enable this, formal handover processes shall be documented in the EIR. The document shall define the structure, process and content of information to be exchanged. This document shall form the basis for the operational contract documentation.

In addition, appropriate surveys such as point cloud or LiDAR shall be provided to verify the completeness of the as-constructed model.

NOTE 1 A number of formal processes exist such as Soft Landings (see http://www.bsria.co.uk/services/design/soft-landings) or in the case of the public sector “Government Soft Landings” (GSL) (see http://www.bimtaskgroup.org/gsl).

NOTE 2 The handover process and detailed operational processes are documented in PAS 55; the data requirements for these and associated activities are to be documented in PAS 1192-3.

NOTE 3 Evaluation of the operational performance of the asset is to be documented in PAS 1192-3.
Annex A (informative) Terms, definitions and abbreviations for BIM documentation

A.1 2D
Two-dimensional.

A.2 2D drawing
A 2D drawing contains a view of a model that is referenced into a “drawing sheet template” (blank drawing and title block). Such drawings must always be considered to be static documents, as they are drawing renditions or snapshots of the design’s model files. Such renditions are generated each time the drawing is prepared for “sharing” at regular milestones.

A.3 2D model
A model with entities having two-dimensional properties. Such models are always to be considered to be dynamic, as they will be made up of “model files” that are “x-ref” or “reference” files.

A.4 3D
Three-dimensional.

A.5 3D model
A model with objects having three-dimensional properties. Such models are always to be considered to be dynamic, as they will be made up of “model files” that are “x-ref” or “reference” files.

A.6 3D visualization
3D images from the 3D CAD model, or a virtual representation of the building or facility to be constructed; used for visualizing the project.

A.7 archive
Component of the common data environment (CDE). 

NOTE The archive section of the CDE is for inactive or superseded information. Such information will provide a history of the project information transfers, sharing, change orders and knowledge retention, and can be used for other contractual purposes or “discovery”.

A.8 as-built or as-constructed
A model consisting of documentation, non-graphical information and graphical information defining the delivered project.

“As-built” is defined as the record drawings and documentation defining deviation to the designed information occurring during construction at the end of the project.

“As-constructed” defines the defect and deviation to the designed model occurring during construction. The “as-constructed” model and its appended documentation are continually updated through re-measurement as construction progresses. This allows for deviation to be reviewed with respect to the following packages and making knowledgeable assessment of impact and resolution.

A.9 assembly
Group of components or types to enable the reuse of standardized design or specification elements improving productivity of design and delivery as well as providing a location to hold specifications and lessons learnt in a simple and useable way. They may hold benchmark data for cost and carbon impacts.

The contents of assemblies may themselves have attributes and classifications. These properties may include key data which is attached (to the object) for use once it is placed into a model and may include cost, CO₂, programme, maintenance and other key information.

A.10 attribute
Piece of data forming a partial description of an object or entity.

A.11 author
Originator of model files, drawings or documents.
A.12 AIM/Asset Information Model
Maintained information model used to manage, maintain and operate the asset.

A.13 BEP/BIM Execution Plan
Plan prepared by the suppliers to explain how the information modelling aspects of a project will be carried out.

A.14 BIM
Building information modelling.

A.15 BIM(M)
Sometimes Building Information Management but as BIM(M) Building Information and Management.

A.16 BIM Viewer
Software used to view 3D rendition without requiring the user to have the software that produced the model (Navisworks, Navigator, Solibri, etc.; also eDrawing to view both 2D and 3D rendition).

A.17 CAD
Computer aided design.

A.18 CAD standard
Standard used to produce CAD models that will include origins, units, layering conventions, line specifications, file-naming conventions, drawing numbering, etc.

A.19 CAD viewer
Software used to view rendition print files without requiring the user to have the software that produced the model. (Adobe PDF, DWF, etc.)

A.20 CADD
Computer aided design and draughting.

A.21 CAWS

A.22 CDM
Construction (Design and Management) regulations.

A.23 CIAT
Chartered Institute of Architectural Technologists.

A.24 CIBSE
Chartered Institution of Building Services Engineers.

A.25 CIC Scope of Services
Multi-disciplinary scope of services published by the Construction Industry Council (CIC) for use by members of the project team on major projects.

A.26 CI/SfB
The UK version of the Construction Indexing Classification System for Construction products and elements – a version of the SfB classification system originating from Sweden.

A.27 clash rendition (CR)
Rendition of the native format model file to be used specifically for spatial coordination processes. To achieve clash avoidance or to be used for clash detection.

A.28 classification
Systematic arrangement of headings and sub-headings for aspects of construction work including the nature of assets, construction elements, systems and products.

A.29 client
Individual or organization commissioning a built asset.

NOTE A computer-aided design software application with additional features such as the ability to output drawings from the software.

NOTE The client may be different from the employer.
A.30 common data environment (CDE)

Single source of information for any given project, used to collect, manage and disseminate all relevant approved project documents for multi-disciplinary teams in a managed process.

NOTE 1 A CDE may use a project server, an extranet, a file-based retrieval system or other suitable toolset.

NOTE 2 Note CDE as defined in the BS 1192:2007.

NOTE 3 The fundamental requirement for producing information through a collaborative activity is to share information early, and to trust the information that is being shared as well as the originator of that information. What is needed is a disciplined auditable process that is transparent and controllable.

The method for managing a project through a common data environment (CDE) is applicable to all sizes of practice, and in particular it prepares that office to be able to work collaboratively. As a standard that is adopted by all, it will help to remove the problem of having to constantly retrain on each and every project when client standards are to be applied. If the clients accept the procedures and make them contractual, then these problems disappear.

The CDE is a means of allowing information to be shared efficiently and accurately between all members of the project team – whether that information is in 2D or 3D, or indeed textual or numeric. The CDE enables multi-disciplinary design teams to collaborate in a managed environment, where the build-up and development of information follows the design, manufacturing and construction sequence. See BS 1192:2007 or Building Information Modelling – A Standard Framework and Guide to BS 1192, Richards, 2010.

The CDE process also ensures that information is only generated once and is then reused as necessary by all members of the supply chain. It also ensures that the information is constantly updated and enriched for final delivery as part of the Facilities Management (FM) document.

A.31 component

Synonym for “occurrence”.

A.32 concession

Permission to use or release a product that does not conform to specific requirements

[BS ISO 10007:2003]

or a concession against a contract requirement (gain permission for non-conformance).
A.41 CSG
Constructive solid geometry representation. A CSG object is composed from standard primitives using regularised Boolean operations and rigid motions.

A.42 COBie-UK-2012
Structured facility information for the commissioning, operation and maintenance of a project often in a neutral spreadsheet format that will be used to supply data to the employer or operator to populate decision-making tools, FM and asset management systems.

NOTE Templates for the preparation of COBie information exchange files can be downloaded from the BIM Task Group website: http://www.bimtaskgroup.org.

A.43 data
Information stored but not yet interpreted or analyzed.

A.44 design intent model
Initial version of the Project Information Model developed by the design suppliers.

A.45 DGN
File extension for Bentley Systems’ MicroStation and Intergraph’s Interactive Graphics Design System CAD programs.

A.46 dispositioning authority
Person or a group of persons assigned responsibility and authority to make decisions on the configuration.

[BS ISO 10007:2003]

A.47 DMS
Document management system.

A.49 document repository
Entity including an electronic data management (EDM) system, project extranet or folder hierarchy on a Windows file server.

A.50 drawing
Static, printed, graphical representation of part or all of a project or asset.

A.51 drawing title block
Framework – often containing the project team’s logos – to show the drawing title, number, purpose of issue, status and revision information.

A.52 DWF
Design web format. An open, secure file format developed by Autodesk for the distribution and communication of design data to view, review, or print design files.

A.53 DWG
Proprietary AutoCAD file format.

NOTE DWG (drawing) is a binary file format used for storing two and three dimensional design data and metadata. It is the native format for several CAD packages including AutoCAD and is supported by many other CAD applications.

A.54 DXF
File format used mainly for importing and exporting CAD data between AutoCAD and other CAD-related programs.

A.55 earned value analysis (EVA)
A method of project performance measurement that integrates cost, time and scope.
A.56 electronic document management system (EDMS)
System for storing, retrieving, sharing and otherwise managing electronic documents.

**NOTE** An EDMS provides more control and better management of computer-generated files. It adds enhanced file security, revision control, file descriptions, extended file names and user access privileges to the basic file directory management features of the computer operating system.

A.57 employer
Individual or organization for whom the contract is executed and delivered.

A.58 employer’s Information Requirements (EIR)
Document setting out the information to be delivered by the supplier as part of the project delivery process to the employer.

A.59 entity
Synonym for “object”.

A.60 FM
Facilities management.

A.61 gate/stage
Division of a standardized process map for the acquisition of a facility, at some of which the requirements can be delivered.

**NOTE** The stages at which information exchanges are required should be specified in the EIR by reference to the agreed stage and gate names. See the CIC Scope of Services.

A.62 graphical data
Data conveyed using shape and arrangement in space.

A.63 graphic file
File format designed specifically for representing graphical images.

A.64 IAI
International Alliance for Interoperability. Now known as Building Smart.

A.65 iBIM
Integrated Building Information Model.

A.66 ICE
Institution of Civil Engineers.

A.67 ICT
Information and communications technology.

A.68 IFC2x
Industry Foundation Class version 2x.

A.69 information
Representation of data in a formal manner suitable for communication, interpretation or processing by human beings or computer applications.

A.70 information exchange
Structured collection of information at one of a number of pre-defined stages of a project with defined format and fidelity.

A.71 Information management
Tasks and procedures applied to inputting, processing and generation activities to ensure accuracy and integrity of information.

A.72 information model
The information model comprises three constituent parts: documentation, non-graphical information and graphical information.

**NOTE** The model is conveyed using PDF, COBie and native model files.
A.73 information modelling
Use of data to provide information through better understanding, by applying logic or mathematical functions to derive new data.

A.74 layer
Attribute given to entities within CAD files enabling their visibility to be controlled. Further values may be assigned to the attribute to enable control whether it can be edited or deleted.

A.75 lean
Production focused on delivering value for the employer and eliminating all non-value-adding activities using an efficient workflow.

A.76 lean principles
Understanding value from a client’s perspective, identifying the value stream, establish a balanced flow of work, in which the demand for product is pulled from the next customer, with a constant drive for continuous improvement and perfection (Based on “Lean Thinking”, Womack & Jones, 2003 edition).

A.77 levels of model detail (LOD)
Description of graphical content of models at each of the stages defined for example in the CIC Scope of Services.

A.78 levels of model information (LOI)
Description of non-graphical content of models at each of the stages defined for example in the CIC Scope of Services.

A.79 master information document index (MIDI)
Index specifying a detailed list of the deliverables for a project; for model, sub models, documents and data also allocating responsibility to deliver and the programme for delivery of a project supply chain.

A.80 manageable assets
Those aspects of the facility that may be managed during the facility life-cycle include both physical and spatial objects, and their functional groupings.

NOTE 1 An asset is a uniquely identifiable element which has a financial value and against which maintenance actions are recorded.

NOTE 2 Some aspects, such as the structural frame, or individual pipe and duct segments, fixings and secondary elements may be excluded from scope by the employer.

A.81 marked-up drawing
Paper or electronic drawing that has been marked up with comments from other disciplines or the client.

A.82 master information delivery plan (MIDP)
Primary plan for when project information is to be prepared, by whom and using what protocols and procedures, incorporating all relevant TIDPs.

A.83 metrics
The acceptability of the deliverable may be assessed against the requirements shown in the examples and/or against indicative ratios and counts based on the information provided.

A.84 model file
Native, proprietary format, CAD file that can be a 2D or 3D model.

A.85 non-graphical data
Data conveyed using alphanumeric characters.

A.86 NRM

A.87 NRM1
A.88 NRM2
Detailed measurement for building works, provides detailed rules for the measurement and description of building works for the purpose of obtaining tender prices.

A.89 NRM3
Order of cost estimating and cost planning for building maintenance works is currently in development and is expected to launch in 2013.

A.90 object
Item having state, behaviour and unique identity – for example, a wall object.
NOTE Wiki: object as anything that we can think or talk about. In a general sense it is any entity.

A.91 origin
As the setting out point for a project or programme using co-ordinate geometry or related to the OS or geospatial reference.

A.92 originator
Author of models, drawings and documents.

A.93 OS
Ordnance Survey.

A.94 PDF
Portable Document Format. A standard document format from Adobe Systems for transfer between different computer systems.

A.95 placeholder
Simplified or generic representation of a 3D object.

A.96 project delivery team
Group of organizations or individuals contracted either directly or indirectly to deliver services or products to the project.

A.97 project information model (PIM)
Information model developed during the design and production and construction phase of a project, consisting of documentation, non- graphical information and graphical information defining the delivered project.
NOTE The PIM is developed firstly as a design intent model, showing the architectural and engineering intentions of the design suppliers. Then the PIM is developed into a virtual construction model containing all the objects to be manufactured, installed or constructed. It becomes the basis of the Asset Information Model once handed over.

A.98 project implementation plan (PIP)
Statement relating to the suppliers’ IT and human resources capability to deliver the EIR.
NOTE Also contains standard method and procedure.

A.99 published/documentation
Component of the CDE for drawing renditions that have been approved as suitable for a specific purpose – for example, suitable for construction.
NOTE The published documentation section of the CDE contains drawings – and, if agreed by the project teams, the native model files and renditioned – which are snapshots of the shared information taken at a specific time. They are compiled by referencing the relevant approved model files into a coordinated model file and cutting the views and sections from the models.

A.100 purpose of issue
States the purpose for issuing the document.

A.101 purposes
Those aspects of the Facility that are intended to be managed by the facility owner.
NOTE Some purposes, such as supporting the business case, may be common with the briefing and design processes.

A.102 RACI indicator
Abbreviation used to identify which of a group of participants or stakeholders are responsible for (“R”), authorize (“A”), contribute to (“C”) or are to be kept informed about (“I”) a project activity.
A.103 reference file
CAD model file associated or linked with another CAD model file. Also referred to as an “X-ref”.

A.104 rendition
Documentation in a form enabling the information to be viewed, printed and marked up. For example, PDF and DWF files are documentation consisting of snapshots of

A.105 requirements
Requirements are the documented expectations of facility owners/commissioners for sharable structured information. These are also referred to as the Employers Information Requirements (EIR) (alternatively, the Clients Information Requirements). *NOTE Detailed requirements are scheduled in the examples.*

A.106 revision
Used to identify revisions of documents, drawing and model files.

A.107 RIBA
Royal Institute of British Architects.

A.108 RICS
Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors.

A.109 shared
Component of the CDE. The shared section of the CDE is where information can be made available to others in a “safe” environment. The early release of information assists in the rapid development of the design solution. To allow this to be achieved, the concept of information “status/suitability” has been adopted.

A.110 SI system
Le Système International d’Unités. International system of units.

A.111 SMP
Standard Method and Procedure. Set of standard methods and procedures covering the way information is named, expressed and referenced.

A.112 soft landings
Graduated handover of a built asset from the design and construction team to the operation and maintenance team to allow structured familiarisation of systems and components and fine tuning of controls and other building management systems.

A.113 standard font
Agreed set of font types and sizes to be used for the project.

A.114 standard layering convention
Single layering convention used by the project team. [BS 1192:2007]

A.115 status
Defines the “suitability” of information in a model, drawing or document. Not to be confused with the status in architectural documentation as “new build”, “retain” or “demolish”.

A.116 supplier
Provider of services or goods either directly to the employer or to another supplier in a supply chain.

A.117 supplier information modelling assessment form
The form conveying the capability and experience of a supplier to carry out information modelling in a collaborative environment.

A.118 supplier information technology assessment form
The form conveying the capability and IT resources of a supplier for exchanging information in a collaborative environment.
A.119 supply chain capability assessment form
Form summarizing the human resource and IT capability of each organization in a supply chain.

A.120 system
Group of components that work together to provide a specific building service such as envelope, ventilation or fire protection.

A.121 system (IFC)
Organized combination of related parts, composed for a common purpose or function or to provide a service. System is essentially a functionally related aggregation of products.

A.122 systems engineering
Interdisciplinary approach enabling the realization of successful systems.

A.123 task information delivery plan (TIDP)
Federated lists of information deliverables by each task, including format, date and responsibilities.

A.125 third party capability assessment form
Form conveying the information management and IT capabilities of non-design, non-construction organizations in a supply chain.

A.126 Uniclass
Unified classifications for the construction industry sponsored by CC, RICS, RIBA and CIBSE. The classification system is based on CI/SfB, CAWS and other relevant documents. Complying with BS ISO 12006-2.

A.127 user
Individual occupying or using a built asset for its designed purpose.

A.128 virtual construction model
Subsequent version of the Project Information Model developed from the design intent model by the construction supplier and their supply chain.

A.129 volume
Manageable spatial subdivision of a project, defined by the “project team” as a subdivision of the overall project that allows more than one person to work on the project models simultaneously and consistent with the analysis and design process.

NOTE 1 Analogous to the volume strategy defined by the lead designer to allocate volumes within the project to different disciplines into which they carry out their system models (walls, structure, pipework, ductwork, electrical, etc).

Also achieves spatial co-ordination prior to detail design. Each volume or subdivision is a reference file. When one or more referenced files is viewed, the full or partial project may be represented.

This subdivision also becomes important when using extranets, as it allows the files to be kept to a manageable file size.

NOTE 2 This term is defined as “zone” in BS 1192:2007.

A.130 version
Sub-indexing to revision as used in the common data environment to show the development of information and information models, e.g. if a version is named P1.1, P1 is the revision number and .1 is the version to that revision.

A.131 VPN
Virtual private network.

A.132 work in progress (WIP)
Component of the CDE.

A.133 x-ref
CAD model file associated or linked with another CAD model file. Also referred to as a “reference file”.
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The HM Government’s Building Information Modelling (BIM) Programme – which has a target to have all centrally-procured Government projects adopt BIM Level 2 by 2016 – has been called one of the most ambitious and advanced policy-driven programmes in the world. It is one in which the UK can take on a global leadership role in BIM exploitation.
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